

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,475	05/10/2005	Raoul Florent	FR020121US	5009	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 08/11/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			GUPTA, VANI		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3768		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,475	FLORENT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VANI GUPTA	3768	

	VANI GUPTA	3768					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this ication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places to ication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31° (5) a Request 20ntinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time 30 cm. The period for reply expires						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. Ir no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Sea MEPE 76.05 (7)().						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying to	10 133463 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.6-10 and 14-16.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737	/Vani Gupta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3768						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments change the scope of the independent claims and, therefore, also change the scope of the corresponding dependent claims. The changes in scope of the claims raise new issues and therefore require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The amendments to claim, although may overcome cited prior art, change the scope of the claims. This change in turn raises new issues that require new consideration and search (see box 3(a) for details).